



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR ·	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/586,575	06/02/2000	Jennifer Pencis	1001-0130	6027	
22120 7	7590 05/20/2004		EXAMINER		
ZAGORIN O'BRIEN & GRAHAM, L.L.P. 7600B N. CAPITAL OF TEXAS HWY			HO, THA	HO, THANG H	
SUITE 350			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
AUSTIN, TX	78731		2188	<u>~1</u>	
			DATE MAILED: 05/20/2004	,	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

fr

	Application No.	Applicant(s)		
	09/586,575	PENCIS ET AL.		
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit		
	Thang H Ho	2188		
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the o	orrespondence address		
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be ting within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).		
Status				
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 05 M	arch 2004.			
2a)⊠ This action is FINAL . 2b)☐ This	action is non-final.			
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.				
Disposition of Claims				
4) Claim(s) 1-20 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdray 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1.2,7-9,13-15 and 17-20 is/are rejecte 7) Claim(s) 3-6,10-12 and 16 is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	vn from consideration.			
Application Papers		•		
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acce Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct	epted or b) objected to by the l drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Sec ion is required if the drawing(s) is ob	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). jected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).		
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer. Note the attached Office	Action or form PTO-152.		
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119				
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list 	s have been received. s have been received in Applicati ity documents have been receive ı (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National Stage		
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO 892)	A) 🔲 Interview Summers	(PTO 412)		
 Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:			

Art Unit: 2188

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Amendment

- 1. This Office Action is in response to applicant's amendment dated March 5, 2004. The applicant's remarks and amendment were considered with the results that follow.
- 2. Claims 1-20 are pending in this application for examination. Claims 1 and 19 have been amended, no claim has been cancelled and no new claim has been added. Therefore, claims 1-20 remain pending in the application.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 4. Claims 1, 2, 7-9, 13-15 and 17-20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Ghaffari et al. (USPN: 6,088,740) in view of Arimilli et al (USPN: 6,487,637), hereinafter Arimilli.

As per claims 1 and 19, Ghaffari teaches in figures 1 and 2 a computer system comprising a processor (121), an integrated circuit (270) receiving command information over a plurality of bit times comprising: a command queue (276) storing command information received into the integrated circuit (270) during consecutive bit times (e.g. column 5, lines 19-23 and column 11, lines 13 et seq.). Ghaffari also teaches the

updating of a write pointer to repoint a write pointer to point to the previous command already stored in command queue allowing recovery of command to restart in event of an error (e.g. abstract, column 2, lines 37-44, and column 17 et seq.).

However, Ghaffari fails to teach the control logic responsive to a cancellation indication in the command information, indicating that the command is cancelled.

Arimilli teaches the control logic responsive to a cancellation indication in the command information, indicating that the command is cancelled to stop the execution of the speculative command and remove it from the queue, thereby speeding up the processing and improving system performance (e.g. Abstract, FIG. 5, column 4, lines 55-61 and column 5, lines 7-20).

Accordingly, it would have been prima facie obvious for one skilled in the art at the time the invention was made to implement the system and method as taught by Ghaffari and include a control logic to process the cancellation of command within the command queue as taught by Arimilli for the purpose stated above. Furthermore, the repointing of the write pointer to point to the command already stored in the command queue would allow for the reclamation of command blocks and eliminate the need for compacting the command queue to remove the canceled commands. Thus, providing a contiguous command queue and better system performance.

As per claims 2, 13 and 14, the combination of Ghaffari and Arimilli discloses that the command is speculative read operation (Arimilli, Abstract, FIG. 6, column 5, lines 7-20).

Art Unit: 2188

As per claims 7, 8 and 20, Ghaffari discloses a content counter indicating a number of commands stored in the command buffer (figure 9, element 916 and column 11, lines 13 et seq.) wherein the content counter is decremented as a result of the cancellation indication (figure 13, element 1342 and column 14, lines 20 et seq.).

As per claims 9, 15, 17 and 18, the claims encompass the same scope of invention as to that of claims 1, 7 and 8, respectively, however the claims are drafted as method format rather than apparatus format, the claims are therefore rejected for the same reasons as being set forth above.

Allowable Subject Matter

5. Claims 3-6, 10-12 and 16 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Response to Arguments

6. Applicant's arguments filed on March 5, 2004 with respect to claims 1-2, 7-9, 13-15, and 17-20 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

Applicants asserted:

(a) Ghaffari fails to teach "a command queue storing command information received into the integrated circuit during consecutive bit times" as recited in claim 1;

Application/Control Number: 09/586,575 Page 5

Art Unit: 2188

(b) Ghaffari fails to teach the "updating of a write pointer to repoint a write pointer to point to the cancelled command already stored in command queue" as recited in claim 1;

- (c) Ghaffari fails to teach "a content counter indicating a number of commands stored in the command buffer" as recited in claim 7;
- (d) Examiner fails to cited any prior art that teaches or suggests "receiving a plurality of command segments corresponding to one command... each command segment being received in a different phase..."; "in response to the cancellation indication, performing an undo-push operation to remove the command segments stored in the command queue associated with the canceled command."; and "the command being received in command segments at corresponding different times...".

Examiner respectfully traverses Applicant's remarks for the following reasons:

With respect to (a), Examiner submits that the primary purpose of a command queue is to store commands, which may be transmitted in serial or parallel over a period of time for later execution. Ghaffari clearly discloses a Command Queuing System (270) for storing command information received into in a hardware accelerated Command Interpreter (CI) for "seriatim" execution by components within the CI system to perform tasks such as the writing of data to a magnetic disk storage device (e.g., column 1, line 45 through column 2, line 43). Furthermore, Ghaffari discloses on column 5, lines 19-43 that the preferred command queuing schemes comprising First-in-First-out (FIFO), wherein commands are sequentially stored and retrieved during consecutive bit times.

Application/Control Number: 09/586,575

Art Unit: 2188

With respect to (b), Ghaffari discloses that in the event of an error in executing any one command from the command queue, the command queue system updates (e.g., repoints) the command queue pointers as needed to recover from the error and restart or reset to an initial start state and resume the normal command queuing (e.g., column 2, lines 39-43 and column 16, lines 20-21). However, Ghaffari does not specifically teach that the updating of the pointers is in response to a cancelled command. Arimilli teaches that a control logic responsive to a cancellation indication in the command information, indicating that the command is cancelled to stop the execution of the speculative command, thereby speeding up the processing and improving system performance (e.g. Abstract, FIG. 5, column 4, lines 55-61 and column 5, lines 7-20). Accordingly, it would have been obvious for one skilled in the art at the time the invention was made to extend the error handling mechanism in Ghaffari's invention to include the cancellation command as taught by Arimilli to speed up the processing and improving system performance.

With respect to (c), Ghaffari clearly discloses a content counter indicating a number of commands stored in the command buffer (e.g., "ToDo count bits represent the number of un-executed commands in the Command Queue 276 that are awaiting execution."; see FIG. 9 and column 12, lines 34-36).

With respect to (d), the limitations have been addressed above with respect to (a).

Additionally, it is noted that the citations "command segment being received in a different phase" and "the command being received in command segments at corresponding different times..." are being interpreted as being analogous to the citation

Application/Control Number: 09/586,575

Art Unit: 2188

Page 7

"command received during consecutive bit times" as being recited in claim 1. Regarding the undo-push operation to remove the cancelled command from the queue, it is inherent that the cancelled (e.g., deleted) commands are removed from the queue as evident by Arimilli on column 1, lines 61-64).

Therefore, the rejection of claims 1-2, 7-9, 13-15, and 17-20 is deemed to be proper.

Conclusion

7. THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

8. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Thang H Ho whose telephone number is 703-305-1888. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday from 7:00 A.M. - 4:30 P.M..

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Mano Padmanabhan can be reached on 703-306-2903. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Application/Control Number: 09/586,575

Art Unit: 2188

9.

Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent

applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR

system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR

system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Thang Ho Art Unit 2188 May 17, 2004

> MANO PADMANABHAN SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER

Mano Rodnoveher S/10/04

Page 9